

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	PAGE
<u>CASE LAW AUTHORITY</u>	
<u>BENTON V. MARYLAND</u> , 395 U.S. 784 (1969)	6
<u>BREINER V. STATE DENTAL COM'N</u> , 57 CONN.APP. 700 (2000)	26,29
<u>BUDLONG V. NADEAU</u> , 30 CONN.APP 61, <u>CERT. DENIED</u> , 225 CONN. 909, <u>CERT. DENIED</u> , 510 U.S. 814 (1993)	9
<u>CALDOR, INC. V. THORNTON</u> , 191 CONN. 336 (1983), <u>SUB NOM.</u> <u>ESTATE OF CALDOR V. THORNTON</u> , 472 U.S. 703 (1985)	9-10
<u>CANNATA V. DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION</u> , 239 CONN. 124 (1996)	19,31,32
<u>CORCORAN V. TAYLOR</u> , 65 CONN.APP. 340 (2001)	9
<u>DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA V. HELLER</u> , 554 U.S. ___, 128 S.CT. 2783 (2008)	6,7,14
<u>GERARDI V. CITY OF BRIDGEPORT</u> , 99 CONN.APP. 315 (2007)	26
<u>GOLDBERG V. DANAHER</u> , DOCKET No. 3:07-cv-1911(VLB) 2008 WL 2813094 (D. Conn. 2008) (unpublished case), <u>vacated and remanded Goldberg v. Danaher</u> , 599 F.3d 181 (2d Cir. 2010) ..	29
<u>LAWRENCE BRUNOLI, INC. V. BRANFORD</u> , 247 CONN. 407 (1999)	19
<u>MALONEY V. CUOMO</u> , 554 F.3D 56, 58 (2D CIR. 2010), <u>CERT. GRANTED, VACATED AND REMANDED SUB NOM.</u> <u>MALONEY V. RICE</u> , ___ S.CT. ___, WL2571878 (2010)	6
<u>MANCHESTER HEALTH V. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH</u> , 2000 WL 350470 (CONN.SUPER., MAR. 28, 2000) (SATTER, J.) (UNPUBLISHED CASE)	31,32
<u>MARONE V. WATERBURY</u> , 244 CONN. 1, 10-11 N. 10 (1988)	18
<u>MCDONALD V. CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> , 561 U.S. ___, 130 S.CT. 3020, 2010 WL 2555188 (2010)	4,5,6,13,14
<u>NIEMAN V. YALE UNIV.</u> , 270 CONN. 244 (2004)	26

<u>NUNN V. STATE</u> , 1 GA. 243 (1846)	14
<u>O'CONNOR V. OHIO</u> , 385 U.S. 92, 87 S.Ct. 252, 17 L.Ed.2d 189 (1966)	8
<u>PATTEN V. SPADA</u> , 35 CONN. L. RPTR. 518, 2003 WL 22245469 (CONN. SUPER. SEPT. 22, 2003) (JUD. DIST. OF LITCHFIELD) (PICKARD, J.)	20,23,24,33
<u>PINCHBECK V. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH</u> , 65 CONN.APP. 201 (2001)	19
<u>SANTANA V. CITY OF HARTFORD</u> , 94 CONN.APP. 445 (2006)	28
<u>SNET V. DPUC</u> , 64 CONN.APP. 134 (2001)	31
<u>STATE V. CHANDLER</u> , 5 LA.ANN. 489, 490 (1850)	14
<u>STATE V. COTE</u> , 286 CONN. 603 (2008)	15
<u>STATE V. DEJESUS</u> , 288 CONN. 418 (2008)	17,18
<u>STATE V. EVANS</u> , 165 CONN. 61 (1973)	7,8
<u>STATE V. GOLDING</u> , 213 CONN. 233 (1989)	4,7,8,9
<u>STATE V. JACOBS</u> , 70 CONN.APP. 488, <u>REV'D ON OTHER GROUNDS</u> , 265 CONN. 396 (2003) (1999)	9
<u>STATE V. MANAGEMENT ASS'N OF CONNECTICUT, INC. V. O'NEILL</u> , 40 CONN.SUPP. 381 (JUD. DIST. OF HARTFORD) (SATTER, J.) (MAY 20, 1986) (UNPUBLISHED DECISION)	9,10,11
<u>STATE V. SALAMON</u> , 287 CONN. 509, 542 (2008)	17,18
<u>STATE V. SKAKEL</u> , 276 CONN. 633, <u>CERT. DENIED</u> , 549 U.S.1030 (2006)	15
<u>TUTEN V. UNITED STATES</u> , 460 U.S. 660 (1983)	14
<u>WALLINGFORD CENTER ASSOCIATES V. BOARD OF TAX REVIEW OF THE TOWN OF WALLINGFORD</u> , 68 CONN.APP. 803 (2002)	30

STATUTORY AUTHORITY

<u>CONN. GEN. STAT. § 4-166 THROUGH 4-189</u>	19
<u>CONN. GEN. STAT. § 4-166</u>	20,24,31
<u>CONN. GEN. STAT. § 4-167</u>	19,20,21
<u>CONN. GEN. STAT. § 4-176</u>	2,9,18,19,21,22,23,31,33
<u>CONN. GEN. STAT. § 4-183</u>	13,21
<u>CONN. GEN. STAT. § 5-270</u>	9,10
<u>CONN. GEN. STAT. § 22A-342</u>	32
<u>CONN. GEN. STAT. § 29-28</u>	15
<u>CONN. GEN. STAT. § 29-32B</u>	3,11,12,13,17,18,34
<u>CONN. GEN. STAT. § 29-35</u>	3,5,12,13,14,15,17,18,25,27,28,29,30,34
<u>CONN. GEN. STAT. § 53A-181</u>	16, 17, 27, 29, 30

REGULATORY LAW

<u>CONN. AGENCIES REGS. §§ 29-2-1 THROUGH 29-2-10</u>	20
---	----

RULES OF PRACTICE

CONNECTICUT PRACTICE BOOK, § 66-5	32
---	----